

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

				\mathcal{U}
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/676,654	10/01/2003	Koji Takinami	MTS-3475US	8959
23122 7590			EXAMINER	
RATNERPRESTIA P O BOX 980	4		CHANG,	JOSEPH
VALLEY FORGE	, PA 19482-0980		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2817	
9			·	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PE	ERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTH	is .	. 04/20/2007	PAI	PER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	·		
		10/676,654	TAKINAMI ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Joseph Chang	2817			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	***		
Period fo	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	VIC CET TO EVDIDE 2 MOI	ITU(S) OD THIRTY (30) DAVS			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a repl will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH , cause the application to become ABAN	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 M	<u>arch 2007</u> .				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)[
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-12 and 17-21 is/are pending in the	application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 2, 4-7 is/are withdraw					
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
· ·	Claim(s) <u>1,3,8-12 and 17-21</u> is/are rejected.		•			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		•		
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b)□ objected to by	the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			i).		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not re	ceived.	•		
Attachme	•	_				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date			
3) 🔲 Info	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		mal Patent Application			

Art Unit: 2817

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of claims 1, 3, 7-12, 17-21 drawn to species 1, Figure 3 in the reply filed on 3/23/07 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claim(s) 2, 4-6 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 3/23/07. Upon further examination of the claims which applicant indicated, claim 7 do not appear to be the elected species, because the recitation in the claims "one active filter and n pieces of resistor" reads on Species 4 (Fig.6) and therefore, claim 7 is also withdrawn from further consideration.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/676,654

Art Unit: 2817

Claims 1, 3, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 17-19, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Oehm et al., ESSCIRC 2002 "Linear Controlled Temperature Independent Varactor Circuitry".

Regarding claims 1 and 19, Oehm et al. discloses a voltage controlled oscillator (Fig.12) comprising an inductor circuit (Coil or L in Fig.1); n pieces of variable capacitance circuit (Ma and Mb) connected in parallel (Fig. 7), blocking capacitors (Ck), a negative resistance circuit (M1 and M2 see Fig. 1), a predetermined reference voltage (Vref), a control voltage (Vtune). The functional limitations recited in the claims inherently present in the circuitry because the structure of circuitry of Oehm et al is the same as the one in this application.

Regarding claim 3, Fig. 7 shows Vref voltage supply rail with resistors in series. Regarding claim 8, Fig. 7 shows capacitive elements, Cs.

Regarding claim 9-11, the functional limitations recited in the claims inherently present in the circuitry because the structure of circuitry of Oehm et al is the same as the one in this application.

Regarding claim 12, MOS varactor uses gate capacitance (gate to source or drain).

Regarding claims 17 and 21, a radio communication apparatus having a PLL is an intended use of the VCO of Oehm et al.

Regarding claim 18, this method claim is the same in scope as apparatus claim 1, which would necessarily perform the method claimed and therefore, the claim is rejected.

Application/Control Number: 10/676,654

Art Unit: 2817

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oehm et al., in view of Andreani et al., IEEE Journal "On the Use of MOS Varactors in RF VCO's".

As noted above, Oehm et al. discloses a VCO using MOS varactors but not clear whether they are accumulation MOS. As would have well known in the art, accumulation mode MOS varactors have lower power consumption and a lower phase noise, as disclosed in Andreani et al. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use accumulation mode MOS varactors because such a modification would have provided the benefit of lower power consumption and a lower phase noise, as taught by Andreani et al.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Chang whose telephone number is 571 272-1759. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 0700-1730.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pascal can be reached on (571) 272-1769. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/676,654

Art Unit: 2817

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JOSEPH CHANG PRIMARY EXAMINER